

Scheduled caste population rural-urban differential in the size of household in India

Pradeep Kumar

Student, Department of Geography, M D U, Rohtak, Haryana, India

Abstract

This paper utilizes district level data on scheduled caste rural size of household and urban size of household in India. The main objectives of this present paper to study the differences in size of rural household between rural and urban. This work is based on secondary data and collected from census of India 2011. There were two variables i.e. rural household size and urban household size has been taken into consideration for the study. The data is computed and calculated differential between rural size of household and urban size of household of scheduled caste population. As per census 2011, India has 4.93 person per household and 4.69 person per household per.

Keywords: scheduled caste, urban, rural, size of household, difference

Introduction

Studies on household size contribute a significant area of research in countries experiencing declining population growth which influence through significant changes in living arrangements. Sociologists and demographers are not contributed to this area of research in countries of high fertility level. This perhaps explains lack of research in this area of household size in India. Available research in India reveals little or negligible studies have done in this area. Aiyappan (1972) [1] assessed the census data on households to explain changes in family size from 1871 to 1961 in Kerala, especially conclusions on the basis of data contained in the 1891 Census report. Studies of developing countries have emphasis on several socio cultural and socioeconomic aspects associated with fertility and household size: modernization (Levy, 1985); contraceptive use and family planning programs for households (Koenig et al., 1987); and cultural attitudes and values for old-age security value of children (Rani, 1986) or the view of children as risk insurance (Robinson, 1986). Researchers have analyzed the extent of fertility and household size depends on individual's family backgrounds, social, psychological characteristics and economic status. Blake (1986) assessed an inverse relationship between social class and household size. More education, late marriage, longer gap between marriage and the birth of the first child and employment condition were found associated with smaller families (Wagner et al., 1985).

Based on Census of India information, this study explains the trend and spatial pattern in the changes of household size in India using district level data of 2011. Changes in household size are clearly influence on the changes in living space as well as in household composition. Problem acquires nuances of meanings in a country like India where indications shows tendency to move into a low fertility regime in the years to come. However, mean demographic statistics generally provide substantial changes in the size and number of households. Most indicators of demographic, socio-economic changes, household changes are neither uniform in their social and spatial content. It may be worthwhile to examine district level variation in this feature

of change that is unfolding in India in recent years.

Objective

- To examine the difference in size of household of Scheduled Caste urban-rural population in India.

Database and Methodology

The present work is based on secondary sources of data. All secondary data are collected from census of India, 2011. The data like district wise sized of household has been taken for the study.

To achieve the desired results, the index of the mean size of household is calculated by i.e.

$$\text{Index of mean size of household} = \frac{\text{Total number of Population}}{\text{Number of Household}}$$

GIS arc Sootware 9.3 is also used as a tool to draw the maps of the variation in size of household in India.

Scheduled Caste Population Rural and Urban of Household in India

According to the census 2011, the mean scheduled caste population household size in India represents 4.80 persons per household. The scheduled caste population rural size of household is about 4.93 persons per household and 4.69 persons per household in scheduled caste population urban areas. A comparison of mean household in 2011 census with previous decades reveals that the mean size of household is gradually increasing over time and start to decrease after 1991. From independence the household size was increase gradually from 4.88 persons per household in 1951 to 5.57 in 1991. It was stared decreasing from 1991 to 2011 i.e. 4.85 persons in 2011 census (Figure 1).

Seen as a scheduled caste rural-urban breakup, the mean size of household is continuously increasing since 1951. The maximum increase in scheduled caste population rural areas could be witnessed during 1951 to 1991, when the mean size of household registered an increase of 4.91 to 5.63 persons per household. This was the similar the increase in the total size of household in the same period. The scheduled caste population trend was negative from the

census year 1991 to 2011, where it was decrease from 5.63 to 4.95 persons per household. On the other hand the increase of scheduled caste population urban household size was witnessed during 1951 to 1981 i.e. 4.71 to 5.45 persons per household. It was negatively grown from the 1981 to 2011, where it was registered 5.38 to 4.66 persons per household.

The scheduled caste rural-urban differences in size of households

The salient revelation of Indian census data was not much to distinguish between the mean sizes of household i.e. 4.95 in rural and 4.66 in urban areas. The overall picture shows that mean size of household revealing the sign of stability around 4.85 persons per household in 2011. It has been observed that in the past decades the joint family in the urban areas was almost as high as in the rural areas. The observations was supported by several sample studies which revealed that small, joint family was most typical form of family life amongst the middle and upper middle urban classes in India.

The scheduled caste rural urban differential has not been consistent, but it varying from decade to decade. The increased deferential is because of the size of household in rural constantly increase than the size of urban household. The growth rates of household size in rural areas were positive from 1951 to1991 i.e. 0.28 to 0.04 respectively. On the other hand negative trend of growth registered since 1991- 2011, i.e. 0.23 to 0.45. The urban counterpart also shows an unstable increase and decrease during this tenure. The overall difference in scheduled caste rural urban household size revealed that increase and decrease of household difference rely on the trend of household in rural and urban household growth.

Table 1: Trend of scheduled caste rural-urban differences in size of household

Decades	Rural Scheduled Caste	Urban Scheduled Caste	Difference
1951-61	+0.28	+0.36	+0.08
1961-71	+0.33	+0.16	-0.17
1971-81	+0.07	+0.22	+0.15
1981-91	+0.04	-0.07	-0.03
1991-01	-0.23	-0.28	-0.05
2001-11	-0.45	-0.54	-0.09

Source: author’s calculation from census data

Spatial pattern of scheduled caste rural -urban differences in the size of household

In India, the mean household size of urban area has been 4.85 and rural area has been 4.94 in 2011. The maximum size of households in urban areas is in Uttar Pradesh (6) while minimum is observed in Tamil Nadu (3). In rural areas the maximum mean size of households is in Bihar and Uttar Pradesh i.e. 5.73 and minimum mean size of households is in Goa (3.36) followed by Tamil Nadu (3.88). Among Union Territories, the maximum mean size of urban households is in Lakshadweep (5.21) and minimum is in Andaman and Nicobar (4.05) and Chandigarh (4.06). Similarly, in rural household size is maximum in Lakshadweep (5.67) and minimum is in Daman, Diu, Dadra, and Nagar Haveli (4). The mean of total size of household has minimum in Tamil Nadu (3.89) and maximum is in Uttar Pradesh (6).

Table 2: Scheduled Caste Rural-Urban differentials in the size of household

State/Union Territories	Mean Size of Household In 2011			Scheduled caste rural urban Differentials
	Total areas	Rural areas	Urban areas	
State				2011
Jammu & Kashmir	5.92	5.86	6.06	-0.2
Punjab	5.03	5.16	4.82	+0.34
Himachal Pradesh	4.62	4.70	4.03	+0.67
Uttarakhand	4.90	4.94	4.82	+0.12
Haryana	5.23	5.42	4.87	+0.55
Rajasthan	5.40	5.42	5.30	+0.12
Uttar Pradesh	6.00	6.04	5.73	+0.31
Bihar	5.50	5.47	5.73	-0.26
Sikkim	4.73	4.89	4.30	+0.59
Arunachal Pradesh	5.11	5.33	4.51	+0.82
Nagaland	5.10	5.07	4.82	+0.25
Manipur	5.03	5.13	4.84	+0.29
Mizoram	4.92	5.00	4.88	+0.12
Tripura	4.34	4.51	5.41	-0.9
Meghalaya	5.41	5.50	5.07	+0.43
Assam	4.87	4.94	4.46	+0.48
West Bengal	4.48	4.50	4.43	+0.07
Jharkhand	5.27	5.30	5.30	0.0
Orissa	4.35	4.32	4.50	-0.18
Chhattisgarh	4.52	4.50	4.62	-0.12
Madhya Pradesh	4.81	4.74	5.00	-0.26
Maharashtra	4.60	4.65	4.53	+0.11
Andhra Pradesh	4.02	3.95	4.15	-0.19
Karnataka	4.57	4.71	4.37	+0.34
Goa	4.24	3.36	4.20	-0.84
Kerala	4.25	4.21	4.30	-0.09
Tamil Nadu	3.89	3.90	3.88	+0.02
Gujarat	4.93	5.12	4.70	+0.42
Delhi- NCT	4.88	5.27	4.88	+0.39
Union territories				
Chandigarh	4.36	4.06	4.38	-0.32
Dam &Diu	4.00	4.74	4.00	+0.74
Dad.&NagarHaveli	4.50	5.07	4.00	+1.07
Lakshadweep	5.57	5.21	5.67	-0.46
Pondicherry	4.12	4.15	4.11	+0.04
Andaman&Nicobar	4.02	4.05	3.98	+0.07
India	4.85	4.94	4.66	+0.28

Source: Author’s calculation from census data.

There has been a significant change in mean size of households in rural and urban areas of Indian states. States like Jammu and Kashmir, Bihar, Tripura, Orissa, Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Goa and Kerala has witnessed increase in size of households in urban areas in 2011 while some states like Tripura, Orissa and Madhya Pradesh observed increase in households size rural areas (See table 2). Significant increase in household size in rural areas can be observed in 2011 census data. The reason can be attributed to lack of education and family planning while there has been decrease in urban household size. The reason can be attributed to increase in access of quality education, aspire for better quality of life, inflation and family planning. The increase in 2011 was observed in states like Manipur (+0.29) and Tamil Nadu (+0.02).Among Union territories, the increase in urban households size can be observed in Chandigarh (-0.32) and Lakshadweep (-0.46) in 2011. Over all there is increase in rural household size in 2011.

From the Fig: 2, it can be observed that the rural household size is high in plain areas excluding Jammu and Kashmir.

The plain areas are devoted to agriculture sector. The requirement of labor can be attributed as a reason for high family size in rural areas in Rajasthan, Punjab, Haryana, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Bengal and Orissa. The urban household size is high in parts of Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Bengal and Orissa. These areas accounts for high population density. Fig: 3 shows the total household size of Indian districts and it can be observed that the

northern India has bigger household size than southern India. Some of the states lacking behind in development constitutes the northern India while the development and advancement in technology in southern India has been the major reason in stark difference in household size. Thus, it can be stated that the development plays a crucial role in population check.




Fig 1




Fig 2




Fig 3

Conclusion

The study revealed that the scheduled caste population rural household would be distinctly larger than the urban. The scheduled caste population urban household in India is only marginally smaller than the rural suggesting the virtual continuation of the traditional family pattern in urban India. In the urban as well as rural the joint family is very common shows a slight difference in the size of household.

Analyzing the difference of scheduled caste rural urban size of household as an index related to the stages of modernization in traditional society. A marginal difference in size of the household was found in more than 4 out of every 5th districts.

The migration in most of the states from rural to urban areas in case of districts where industries are situated, one state to another where agriculture is mostly developed. In this areas are filled with migration of labor which reduces the household size.

References

1. Aiyappan A. "Household Size Extended Families and Changes in Family Structures in Kerala", Indian Census centenary Seminar, New Delhi, Registrar General, India, 1972.
2. Chandana RC. "Geography of Population, Concepts, Determinants and Patterns", Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, 2006, 278-79.
3. Conklin GH. "The household in Urban India", Journal of marriage and the Family. 1976; 38 (4):771-779
4. Marteleto L. "Family Size, Demographic Change and Educational Attainment: a Case of Brazil". Institute for Social Research, PSC Research Report, 2005, 05-584.
5. Nayak DK, Behera NB. "Changing Household Size in India: An Inter-State Comparison", Trans. Inst. Indian Geographers, 2014, 36(1).
6. Primary Census Abstract, 2011.