



Prevalence and Financial Significance of Bovine Hydatidosis at Doyogena Woreda Municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia

Mishamo Lechamo¹, Tariku Geinoro^{2*}

¹ Livestock and Fishery Office, Doyogena Woreda, Southern Region, Ethiopia

² Veterinary Drug and Feed Administration and Control Authority, Southern Branch Office, Hawassa, Ethiopia

Abstract

A cross-sectional study was conducted from November 2013 to April 2014 to estimate the prevalence, financial significance and characterizing cyst due to hydatidosis in cattle slaughtered at Doyogena Woreda municipal abattoir. Out of the total 412 cattle examined visually and manually (palpation and incision), 71(17.2%) were found harboring hydatid cysts. A significantly higher infection was detected on cattle age greater than five years ($p < 0.05$) and less than or equal to five years. Regarding body condition score and origin of animals, no significant variation ($p > 0.05$) was observed as the prevalence was (22.2%) for poor conditioned cattle, (17.3%) for medium conditioned cattle and (14.5%) for fat cattle. Of the total 71 infected, 43(60.6%) animals had hydatid cysts only in the single organ, while the rest 28(39.4%) had multiple organ infections. Of the 112 cysts counted in different viscera, the highest number 51(45.5%) was in lung following 39(34.2%) in liver, 12 (10.7%) in kidney and 10 (9.0%) in spleen. Of the total 112 hydatid cysts counted, 47(41.2%), 35(31.3%) and 30(26.8%) were found to be small, medium and large respectively. In addition, out of the total 112 cysts collected, 30(26.8%) were fertile, 73(65.2%) sterile and 9(8.0%) calcified cysts. There was a significant difference in fertility of cyst from different organs ($p < 0.05$), those of lung origin being highly fertile. Likewise, out of the 30 fertile cysts subjected for viability test, 13(43.3%) were viable and 17(56.7%) were non-viable. Considering the current result, the total annual financial loss from organ condemnation and carcass weight loss due to bovine hydatidosis at Doyogena municipal abattoir was estimated at 1,368,804.3456 ETB.

Keywords: abattoir, doyogena woreda, financial loss, hydatidosis and prevalence

1. Introduction

Hydatidosis is a zoonotic disease that occurs throughout the world and it causes considerable financial loss and public health problem in many countries [1]. The disease has greater public health significance and financial impact in countries where the livestock industry is a significant segment of agriculture sector and where livestock production is based on extensive grazing system [2]. It causes production loss in cattle; productivity (reduction in carcass weight), offal (liver, lung etc) and fertility loss [3].

The species of genus *Echinococcus* are recognized and regarded as taxonomically valid; *E. granulosus* (cystic hydatidosis), *E. multilocularis* (multivesicular hydatidosis), *E. vogeli* (polycystic hydatidosis) and *E. oligarthus* [4, 5]. These four species are morphologically distinct in both adult and the larval stages. In addition to this, several different strains of *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* are recognized [5]. The development of strains may be the result of the fact that flat worms are hermaphrodites which produce themselves through cross or self-fertilization; A single mutant can produce large genetically identical populations that differ from the original genus. These populations are referred to as strain [6]. Of four accepted species in the genus, *Echinococcus*, *E. granulosus* and *E. multilocularis* have veterinary and public health significance [7]. However; it is recently indicated that infection in human is also caused by *E. vogeli* and *E. oligarthus* [8].

Morphologically, *Echinococci* are the smallest of all flat worms (3-4um) long with no gut and metabolic interchange take place across syncytial covering, the tegument anteriorly

two hooks and four muscular suckers. The body or strobilia is segment and consist of a number of the reproductive units or proglotids [9]. The life cycle of *Echinococcus* is indirect [10]. Unlike taenia, the larval stage of (meatcestode) of the *Echinococcus* exhibit low degree of host specificity and has much greater reproductive potential. As with all members of family taenidae, *Echinococcus* requires two mammalian hosts for the completion of its life cycle [11]; one with definite host carnivore and the other intermediate host. In the definite host, the strobilar stage develops in small intestine and in an intermediate host the cystic meatcestode usually develops in viscera [12].

The infective eggs containing onchospheres which are capable of prolonged survival outside the host being viable on the ground for two years are accidentally ingested by intermediate host; they penetrate the gut wall and travelled in the blood to the liver or in the lymph to the lungs. These are the most common sites for the larval development, but occasionally onchospheres escape in the general systemic circulation and develop in other organs and tissue. In the liver and lung, the cyst may develop up to diameter 20cm, but in rare sites as the abdominal cavity where unrestricted growth is possible, it may be very large and contain several liters of fluid [13]. The life cycle is completed when fertile hydatid cyst is eaten by a definite host, the dog or the appropriate carnivores and its prepetent period in the final host is around 40-50 days, after which only one gravid segment shed per week [14]. Even though the onchospheres are capable to withstand the environmental condition, the survival of the eggs influenced by environmental factors

such as low humidity and high temperature, the desiccation is detrimental and they will stay only for a short time when exposed to direct sunlight and dry conditions ^[11].

The pathogenicity heavily depends on the extent and severity of the infection and the organ on which it is situated ^[15]. In domestic animals, the hydatid cyst in the liver or lung is usually tolerated without any clinical sign and the majority of the infections are only revealed at the abattoir ^[13]. In contrast, when man is involved as an intermediate host, it is particularly serious because the cyst may reach any part of the body especially the lung; and if both lungs are affected it causes respiratory symptoms and if several hydatids are present in the liver, they can cause gross abdominal distention ^[16].

Control and preventive measures are mainly all about breaking the life cycle between the definite and the intermediate host. These measures include regular periodic de-worming of dogs, inspecting meat, educating the public on the risk to humans, avoiding feeding offal to dogs, prevention of entry to slaughter houses, destroying all offal containing cysts and washing vegetables before eaten. Application of these and other strict measures have greatly reduced or eliminated the disease from countries, notably in Iceland, where the disease can be controlled successfully through health education, appropriate legislation and announcing the people to understand the life cycle of the disease ^[17].

Various investigations have been conducted through abattoir surveys to determine the status and financial significance of organs condemned due to hydatidosis ^[18, 19]. However, there is no recent information regarding prevalence and financial significance of hydatidosis in Doyogena Woreda. Hence, it is essential to have information on the status of hydatidosis with regard to its magnitude, occurrence and financial significance.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were: to estimate the prevalence and the status of hydatidosis in bovine; and estimate direct and indirect financial losses associated with the disease at Doyogena Woreda, municipal abattoir.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study area

The study was conducted at Doyogena Woreda municipal abattoir from November 2013 to April 2014. Doyogena town is located south of Kacha Bira, West and North of Hadiya Zone, and East of Angacha. The woreda is located on the highland, with an altitude ranging from 1900-2800 meters. The area receives average annual rainfall ranging from 1800 mm and is characterized by bimodal rainfall with a long rain period (June to mid-October) and a short rainy period (March to April). The mean annual temperature and humidity are on average from 12 to 14 and 50% to 60% respectively. The main occupation of the rural population is mixed farming. The livestock population of Doyogena Woreda is cattle (492,222), sheep (92,939), goats (61,939), horse (2,303), donkey (20,621) and poultry (278,422) ^[20].

2.2 Study animals

The study animals were all cattle brought for slaughter from different parts of Doyogena Woreda. They are transported to the abattoir by vehicles from the far area and on foot from surrounding districts. According to the information obtained from the abattoir, the average number of cattle slaughtered annually was 8600. It was difficult to exactly trace back the origin of the animals slaughtered at the study area because the merchants do not know the origin of the animals very

well. However, it is generally known that the majority of slaughtered animals came from places such as Mirab Abaya, Dawro, Halaba, Gamo Gofa, Hossana and most of them were brought from surrounding districts.

2.3 Study design

A cross-sectional study was conducted to determine prevalence, financial loss, public health significance and cyst characteristics of cystic *Echinococcus* at Doyogena municipal abattoir. Systematic (three slaughtering days per week) visits were made to Doyogena Woreda abattoir from November 2013 to April. In this study, randomly selected visceral organs of male animals were inspected for the presence of cysts *Echinococcus* in different organs.

2.4 Sample size determination

The sample size was determined by 95% confidence interval at a desired accuracy level of 5%. The sample size was calculated according to ^[21]. $N = 1.96 \text{ Pexp} / (1 - \text{Pexp}) / d$, where: n = required sample size, Pexp = expected prevalence, d = desired absolute precision. The determined sample size was 206. However, to increase precision of the study, a total of 412 (two fold of expected sample size) cattle were randomly sampled and examined for the presence of hydatid cysts.

2.5 Study methodology

2.5.1 Ante mortem examination

Regular visits were made to conduct *ante mortem* examination of animals brought for slaughter. During *ante mortem* examination, each of the study animals was given an identification number (with a paint mark on their body). Body condition score of the study animals was recorded ^[22]. Estimation of the age was carried out by examination of each of the teeth eruption using the approach forwarded by De Lahunta and Habel ^[23]. Two age groups were considered; less than or equal to five years and above five years old; and origin was also recorded as highland >1500 m.a.s.l and lowland <1500 m.a.s.l. Since almost all the cattle presented to slaughter in the study area were male, sex category was not considered. The body condition scoring was classified into three categories as poor, medium and fat according to Nicholson and Butterworth ^[22].

2.5.2 Post mortem examination

During the *post mortem* inspection those animals were identified systematically according to their identification number. They were thoroughly inspected by visualization and palpation of each visceral organ, particularly lung, liver, spleen, kidney and the presence of hydatid cysts and organ distribution were recorded. Hydatid cysts were carefully removed and separately collected in clean containers for further cyst characterization. Hydatid cyst characterization was made to assess the status of cysts. The size (diameter in centimeter) of each and individual cyst randomly selected was measured using a ruler and the number of the cyst per organ was identified. During *post mortem* inspection, all organs harboring hydatid cysts were condemned and judged according to the guidelines on meat inspection for developing countries and cysts were classified as small (<4 cm), medium (4-8 cm) and large (>8 cm) according to Herenda *et al.* ^[24]. (Annex 2).

2.5.3 Hydatid cyst status characterization

After each hydatid cyst was randomly collected from

different organs it was taken to laboratory and examined for fertility and viability. The pressure of cysts fluid were reduced by a sterile hypodermic needle, then cyst was incised with a sterile scalpel blade and the content was poured into glass petridish and examined for the presence of protoscolices either attached to germinal layer in the form of broad capsule or its presence in the fluid. If protoscolices were present as white dots on the germinal epithelium or broad capsules or hydatid sand within suspension, they cysts were characterized as fertile, if not they were considered as sterile cysts [5].

Fertile cysts were further subjected to viability test. A drop of sediment containing protoscolices was placed on microscopic glass slide, covered with cover slip and observed at 40x objectives of compound microscope, viable cysts show amoeboid like peristaltic movements. For clear vision, a drop of 0.1% of aqueous Eosin solution was added to equal volume of the protoscolices containing hydatid fluid on microscopic slide with the principle that viable prooscoloses should partially or completely exclude the dye and while non – viable or dead ones take it up, thus the technique differentiate between dead (red stained) and live one (unstained) protoscolices. Sterile hydatid cysts were characterized by their smooth inner lining, usually with a slight turbidity of the contained fluid and typically calcified cysts that produced a gritty sound feeling upon incision [4].

2.6 Financial loss estimation

Annual financial loss due to hydatidosis in cattle was estimated from the cost of organs condemned (lung, liver, spleen and kidney) and the carcass weight loss due to the disease. The average price of different organs was obtained from butchers. The parameters considered to estimate the financial loss from carcass weight loss include information on the mean retail market cost of 1kg beef at Doyogena town obtained from butchers during study period (Table 6). The average annual slaughter rate of cattle at Doyogena municipal abattoir was estimated from retrospective data of the last five years. Then, the average carcass weight loss was estimated considering a 5% carcass weight loss as a result of hydatidosis and the loss from organs condemned was calculated by using the formula [25].

2.6.1 Direct loss from organ condemnation

LOC = (NAS ph plu Cplu) + (NAS ph pli Cpli) + (NAS ph psp C psp) + (NAS ph pikd Ckid) where: LOC = loss due to

organ condemnation; NAS = average number of cattle slaughter annually; ph = prevalence of hydatidosis; plu = percent involvement of lung; Cplu = current mean retail price of lung; pli = percent involvement of liver; Cpli = current mean retail price of liver; psp = percent involvement of spleen; Cpsp = current mean retail price of spleen; pkid = percent involvement of kidney; Ckid = current mean retail price of kidney.

2.6.2 Indirect loss from carcass weight loss

The economic loss due to carcass weight loss was determined as described by [3].

LCWL = NAS ph CpB 5% 126kg where: LCWL = loss from carcass weight loss; NAS = average number of cattle slaughtered annually; ph = prevalence of hydatidosis; CpB = current average price of 1kg beef Doyogena town; 5% = estimated carcass weight loss due to hydatidosis [26]; 126kg = average carcass weight (dressing percentage) of adult zebu [27]. The total financial loss is calculated by considering the loss from both organ condemnation (LOC) and carcass weight losses (LCWL) that is:

Total loss = LOC + LCWL

2.7 Data analysis

Data obtained from *ante mortem* and *post mortem* findings and characterization of cysts were stored in Microsoft excel 2007 spread sheet computer program. The data was analyzed by using SPSS – 20 versions and the chi – square test was applied to compare the infection status with regard to the hypothesized risk factors like origin, body condition and age.

3. Results

3.1 Overall prevalence of hydatidosis

Out of 412 cattle slaughtered and examined, 71 (17.2%) were infected with hydatid cyst, harboring one or more cyst/s in different visceral organs (liver, lung, kidney and spleen).

3.2 Prevalence of hydatidosis and risk factors

Data on the occurrence of the infection and assumed risk factors (origin, body condition and age) has made by using proportion and chi – square test (Table 1). The factors like origin and body condition did not show significant difference with regard to cyst detection (p> 0.05), but there were significant difference between age groups (p < 0.05)

Table 1: Prevalence of hydatidosis and risk factors

Variables	No of animals examined	No of positive cases	Prevalence (%)	X ²	P value
Origin					
Highland	245	47	19.2	1.612	.204
Lowland	167	24	14.4		
BCS					
Poor	81	18	22.2		
Medium	179	31	17.3	.496	.780
Fat	152	22	14.5		
Age					
Group 1 (<5)	62	5	8.1	4.301	.038
Group2 (>5)	350	66	18.9		
Overall	412	71	17.2		

BCS = Body condition score

3.3 Distribution of hydatid cyst in different organs of positive cattle

Distribution of hydatid of the cyst in different organs of cattle slaughtered at Doyogena municipal abattoir was

described in Table 2. Out of the 71 cattle in positive for cyst, 43(60.6%) of cases were bearing cysts in single organ while remaining 28(39.4%) cases with multiple organ involvement.

Table 2: Distribution of the hydatid cysts in different organs of positive cases

Organs infected	No of cattle examined	No of cattle infected	Prevalence from totally examined	Prevalence from infected animals
Lung	412	18	4.37	25.4
Liver	412	16	3.88	22.5
Kidney	412	5	1.21	7.0
Spleen	412	4	0.97	5.6
Lung & liver	412	19	4.62	26.8
Lung & kidney	412	2	0.49	2.8
Lung & spleen	412	1	0.24	1.4
Liver & kidney	412	2	0.49	2.8
Liver & spleen	412	3	0.73	4.2
Kidney & spleen	412	1	0.24	1.4
Total	412		71	100%

3.4 Distribution of cysts in different organs based on their size in cattle

Out of 112 cysts detected from different organs, 51 (45.5%) were found in lung, 39 (34.8%) in liver, 12 (10.7%) in kidney and 10 (9.0%) in spleen. On the other hand, size

category of the cysts indicated that 47 (41.9%), 35 (31.3%), 30 (26.8%) were small, medium and large respectively. The observed distribution of cysts in different organ based on their size shown in (Table 3) below.

Table 3: Distribution of cysts in different organs based on their size in cattle

Cyst type	Organs inspected/examined (Number & %)				
	Lung	Liver	Kidney	Spleen	Total
Small	9 (17.6)	19 (48.7)	10 (83.3)	9 (90)	47 (41.7)
Medium	18 (35.3)	14 (35.9)	2 (16.7)	19 (10)	35 (31.3)
Large	24 (41.7)	6 (15.4)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.0)	30 (26.8)
Total	51 (45.5)	39 (34.5)	12 (10.7)	10 (9.0)	112 (100)

3.5 Cyst status characterization

Out of the 112 hydatid cysts collected and examined for the status of the fertility and viability 30 (26.8%) fertile, 73

(65.2%) sterile and 9 (8.0%) calcified (Table 4). From the fertile cysts tested for viability, 13 (43.3%) were viable and 17 (56.7%) were non-viable (Table 5).

Table 4: Fertility/Sterility of cysts collected from different organs of cattle

Organ	Fertile cyst	Sterile cyst	Calcified	Total
Liver	5 (16.7)	27 (36.9)	7 (77.8)	39 (34.8)
Lung	25 (83.3)	24 (32.9)	2 (22.2)	51 (45.5)
Kidney	0 (0.0)	12 (26.4)	0 (0.0)	12 (10.7)
Spleen	0 (0.0)	10 (13.7)	0 (0.0)	10 (9.0)
Total	30 (26.8)	73 (65.2)	9 (8.0)	112 (100)

Table 5: Viability statuses of fertile cysts collected from organs of cattle

Organ	Viable cyst (%)	Non viable cyst (%)	Total
Lung	12 (92.3)	13 (76.5)	25 (83.3)
Liver	1 (7.7)	4 (23.5)	5 (16.7)
Total	13 (43.3)	17 (56.7)	30 (100)

The assessment of the mean retail market price of each organs and the number of organs condemned during the

study period (Table 6).

Table 6: Number of organs condemned, the current average market price (ETB) of each organ (2014), the percent involvement and price of 1kg beef in Doyogena Woreda

Organs condemned	No of organs condemned	Percent involvement (%)	Average price per organ	Total price of organs (ETB)
Lung	51	45.5	16	816
Liver	39	34.8	96	3744
Kidney	12	10.7	20	240
Spleen	10	9.0	6	60
1kg beef			140	
Total	112	100%		4,860

3.6 Financial loss estimation

Loss due to organ condemned was estimated at 64, 179.9456 ETB annually and due to carcass weight loss was 1, 304, 654.40 ETB. The total annual loss encountered due to hydatidosis in cattle slaughtered at Doyogena municipal abattoir was estimated to be 1,368, 804.3456 ETB.

4. Discussion

The current study revealed that the prevalence of hydatidosis in cattle slaughtered at Doyogena Woreda municipal abattoir was 17.2%. This finding was higher than 16% in Wolita Soddo [18] and 15.4% in Wolita Soddo [19]. It was much closer to reports as 17.5% in Mekelle [28], 17.3% in Gondar [29], 17.5% in Wollo [30], 19.7% in Addis Ababa [31]. However, lower than findings from different places of Ethiopia like 61.1% in Asella [32], 48.9% in Debreworkos [34], 32.1% in Mekele [35], 34.05% in Bahirdar [36]. This variation in prevalence in different area may be mainly due to the different in the strains of the Echinococcus that exist in different geographical situations [36] and the factors like difference in culture, social activity and the attitude to the dog in different regions.

Besides, the lower finding when compared to others areas of the country might be due to the origin of the slaughtered animal, in which the majority of the cases came from the lowland and surrounding districts; where the environment conditions such as high temperature and low humidity (adverse conditions for the survival of the eggs of the echinococcus) exist. This was agreed with Thompson and McManus [12] suggestion. Similarly, the higher finding could be resulted from different livestock management system, backyard slaughtering of cattle, inappropriate removal of infected offal's and keeping of animals in close relation with dogs [35]. In addition most rural communities use dogs as guards, which is the primary factor for the disease transmission. Moreover, poor public awareness about the disease and presence of few slaughter houses could have contributed to such a higher prevalence rate.

In the study period, higher prevalence of hydatidosis were recorded in cattle from highland areas (19.2%) followed by lowland areas (14.4%) this might due to abundance and frequent contact between the infected intermediate and final hosts. It could also be associated to slaughtering of aged cattle which have had considered chance of expose to the parasitic ova, backyard slaughtering of small ruminants and provision of infected offal's to pet animals around homesteads. And most people in town own dogs; this in case maximize or perpetuate the life cycle of the hydatidosis. This high prevalence in the study area was also due to the survival of Echinococcus eggs for several months under moist condition and moderate temperature [12]. During the study period, there was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) observed with regard to body condition of the animals. This might be due to animals indiscriminately exposed to hydatidosis irrespective of their body condition. In that most animals presented to the abattoir have a medium (34.4%) and fat (36.9%) body condition, because people in study area prefers good quality meat especially for raw meat consumption ("kurt").

With regard to rate of infection of hydatidosis in different age groups of cattle, significant difference ($p<0.05$) was observed. Animals with more than 5 years of age were highly affected. The difference in infection rate could be mainly due to longer exposure time to *E. granulosus* and to

lower immunity against the infection. In addition, the reason for lower prevalence in below five years cattle could be to early culling of the infected young cattle through slaughtering before they reach old age. This finding was similar with finding of [29, 38, 39].

The highest number of cyst was small in size (41.9%), this might due to the fact the infected cattle are slaughtered before the cyst become large in size. The high proportion of the small size cyst may also indicate continuous grazing in the past rainy seasons where moisture and the rainfall favour the survival of the eggs of *E. granulosus* species and at the same time eggs may get chance to be disseminated by flood. This might be due to immunological response of the host which might have reduced the expansion of the cyst. The greater number of large sized (47.1%) cysts were found in lung than liver (15.4%), while liver harbored many number of the small sized cysts (48.7%), the reason for the higher percentage of the large size cysts in lung was due to their softer consistency which allows the easier development of the cysts while the higher number of the small cysts in liver may due to immunological response of the host which might preclude the growth and expansion of the cysts [40].

In study period it has been also showed that hydatid cysts occurred most commonly in lung (45.5%) followed by liver (34.8%), kidney (10.7%) and spleen (9.0%). This finding is in the line with findings of [41, 42, 43]. This is due to the fact that lung and liver poses first capillaries encountered by the migrating Echinococcus oncospheres (hexacanth embryo) filtrating system sequentially before any other peripheral organ is involved [9]. And also it might be due to the fact that cattle are slaughtered at old age. During this period liver capillaries are dilated and most cysts directly pass to the lungs. Additionally, it is possible for the hexacanth embryo to enter the lymphatic circulation and carried through the thoracic duct to the heart and lungs in such way the lungs may be infected before or instead of the liver [36].

The result of the present study revealed that lung is the most common organ which harbored fertile cysts followed by liver. This result is similar to other researchers such as [44]. It has been stated that relatively softer consistency of lung allow earlier development of cysts; and fertility of hydatid cysts may show a tendency to increase in advanced age of the host. Low fertility in liver may be related to reduction in immunological compatibility of the host at their older ages of infection [45]. The variations between tissues resistances of the infected organs may also influences the fertility rate of the hydatid cysts. In study period, the percentage of fertile cysts recovered was 26.8%. This is lower compared to 70% in the Great Britain, 96.9% in South Africa and 94% in Belgium and higher than [46] and Ernest *et al.* [47] who reported 22% and 21.3% fertile hydatid cysts from central Sudan and Ngorongoro districts of Arusha region, and Tanzania, respectively.

This study also revealed that the higher proportion of the cysts were sterile (65.2%), the finding was in consistency to [30], Nebiyo [48] and Wubet [42]. In Britain, up to 90% of the total cyst from the cattle was reported sterile. In some countries like South Africa, Belgium and Zimbabwe, 96.2%, 94.2%, and 82.2% respectively of the examined hydatid cysts of cattle were sterile. This variation in sterility was described as strain difference in different geographical zones of the globe [36] stated that strain of the parasites and the host can modify the infectivity of the parasites.

In present study, the annual financial loss due to bovine

hydatidosis at Doyogena Woreda municipal abattoir from direct and indirect losses was estimated to be about 1,368, 804.35 ETB which was greater than previous report by Bekele and Butamo ^[49] 410,755.90 ETB) and Butiko ^[50] 244, 287.61 ETB in the same study area. However, it was lower than the estimate of 1,791, 625.89 ETB loss. Estimates in various abattoirs and regions may be due to the variation in the prevalence of the diseases, mean annual cattle slaughtered in the area and the variation in mean retail market price of each organ ^[27].

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Hydatidosis was found to be the disease of cattle with considerable financial significance in Dyogena Woreda. Therefore, based on the above conclusion the following recommendations were suggested:

- Modern slaughter houses, dog proofed fences and disposal pits should be constructed.
- Required facilities for the existing slaughter house as well as educating meat inspectors should be fulfilled.
- Immediate attention should be given to safe elimination of all condemned organs.
- Regular de-worming of housed and stray dogs must be practiced.
- Backyard slaughtering should be prohibited.
- Awareness creation program should be lunched for the butchers, abattoirs workers and meat inspectors about hydatidosis.

6. References

1. Budke C, Qiu J, Wang Q, Torgerson P. Economic effects of the Echinococcosis in a diseases-endemic region of the Tibetan pleatu. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2006; 73:12-21.
2. McGavin M, Carloton W, Zachery J. Thomsons' Special Veterinary Pathology 3rd Ed. USA. Mosby Ice, 2001, p. 106.
3. Sariozken S, Yalcin C. Estimating the production losses due to hydatid cysts Echinococcosus rumminats in Turkey. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2009; 163:330-334.
4. Soulsby E. Helminthes, Arthropod and Protozoa of Domestic Animals 7th Ed. USA: Philadelphia, lea and Fibiger, 1984, p. 808.
5. FAO. Diagnosis manual on meat inspection for developing counters, 2003.
6. McManus D, Synth J. Hydatidosis, changing concepts in Epidemiology speciation parasite today. 2006; 2:163-8.
7. Thompson R, Lumbery A. Echinococcosus and hydatid cyst disease. CAB international Wallingford, UK, 1995, p.19.
8. Carmaena D, Sanchez-Serrano L, Martinez I. *Echinococcus granulosus* infection in the Spain veterinary parasitology. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2008; 174:2-11.
9. Eckert J, Deplazes P. Biological, epidemiological and clinical aspects of echinococcosis, a zoonosis of increasing concern. *Clin. Microbiol.* 2004; 17:207-35.
10. Ver-Vaek M, Giessen J, Brochier B, Losson B. Special spreading of Echinococcus multilocularis in red fox (Vulpes) in Western Europe. *Prevent. Vet. Med.* 2006; 76:137-150.
11. OIE. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis 5th Ed. Office International des-Ephizotics, Paris, 2008, 179-85.
12. Thompson R, McManus D. Towards a taxonomic revision of the genus Echinococcus. *Tends, Parasitol.* 2002; 18:452.455.
13. Taylor I, Ayles H, Cobertt E. Combined medical and surgical approach to hydatid disease: 12 years' experience at the hospital for tropical disease. London. *Am. R. Coll. Surg. Eng.* 2007; 84:100-109.
14. Torgerso P, Budek C. Echinococcosis-an international public health challenges. *Res. Vet. Sci.* 2003; 74:191-202.
15. Dakkak A. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis: A severe Threat in Mediterranean Region. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2006; 174:2-11.
16. Schantz P. Progress in diagnosis, treatment and elimination of Echinococcosus and cysticercosis CDC, Atelanta, USA, 2006, p. 303-340.
17. Force L, Torres JM, Crrillo A, Busca J. Evaluation of the eight serological tests in the diagnosis of human Echinococcosis and follow-up. *Clinic. Infect. Dis.* 1999; 15:473-80.
18. Kebede N, Mekonnen H, Wossene A, Tilahun G. Hydatidosis of slaughtered cattle in Wolita Soddo abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2009b; 41:629-33.
19. Regassa A, Abunna F, Mulugeta A, Megersa B. Major metacestodes in cattle slaughtered at Wolita Soddo municipal abattoir, S0uthern Ethiopia prevalence, cyst viability, organ distribution and socio-economic implications. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2009; 41:1495-1502.
20. CSA. Federal Democratic of the Ethiopia Agricultural Sample Survey Report livestock, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2003.
21. Thrushfield M. Veterinary Epidemiology, 3rd. University of Edinburg, and Black well Science, 2005, p. 232-33.
22. Nicholson M, Butterworth M. A guide to Body condition scoring of Zebu cattle. International livestock center for Africa, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 1986, p. 35.
23. De-Lahunta R, Habel E. Teeth, Applied Veterinary Anatomy. W.B. Saunders Company, 1986, Pp. 4-6.
24. Herenda D, Chambers P. Manual in Meat Inspection for Developing Country. FAO, UN, Rome, 1994, Pp. 160-64.
25. Jober Y, Laba F, Tirone R, Dorehies P. Hydatidosis in three selected regions in Ethiopia, an assessment trial on its prevalence, economic and public health importance. *Rev. De. Med. Vet.* 1996; 147:804.
26. Polydrous K. Animal health and economic case study.Echinococcosis with reference to Cyprus. *Bull. Off. Int. Epizoot.* 1981; 93(5):981-992.
27. ILCA. Trypanotolerant livestock in West and Central Africa: General study. Monograph 2, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 1979; 1:147.
28. Gebremeskel B, Kalayou S. Prevalence, viability and fertility study of bovine cystic Echinococcosis in Mekelle city, Northern Ethiopia. *Revue. Vet. Med.* 2009; 160:92-97.
29. Genet M, Tadesse G, Basaznew B, Mersha C. Pathological conditions causing organ and carcass condemnation and their financial losses in cattle slaughtered in Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia. *Africa. J. Basic. Sci.* 2012; 4:200-08.
30. Alemu B, Nigatu K, Tariku T, Getachew T, Tesfu K. Occurrences and financial significance of bovine cystic

- Echinococcosis in Southern Wollo, Northwest Ethiopia. *J. Vet. Med. Anim. Health.* 2013; 5:51-56.
31. Fikire Z, Tolosa T, Nigussie Z, Macias C, Kebede N. Prevalence and characterization of hydatidosis in animals slaughtered at Addis Ababa abattoir, Ethiopia. *J. parasitol. Vector. Biol.* 2012; 4:1-6.
 32. Koskei P. Prevalence and strain differentiation of *Echinococcus granulosus* in some selected sites of Ethiopia, Berlin, Freic University and Addis Ababa University. MSc Thesis, 1998.
 33. Kebede N, Mitiku A, Tilahun G. Hydatidosis of slaughtered animals in Bahir dar abattoir, Northwest Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2009c; 41:43-50.
 34. Bereh G. Abattoir survey on cattle hydatidosis in Tigry Region of Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2009; 41:130-135.
 35. Kebede N, Abuhay A, Tilahun G, Wossene A. Financial loss estimation, prevalence and characterization of hydatidosis of cattle slaughtered at Debre Markos municipal abattoir, Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2009a; 41:1787- 89.
 36. Arene F. Prevalnce of hydatidosis in domestic livestock in the Niger Delta. *Trop. Anim. Health.* 1995; 17:3-4.
 37. Garippa G, Varcasia A, Scala A. Cystic Echinococcosis in Italy from the 1950s to present. *Parasitol.* 2004; 46:387-391.
 38. Fikire L. Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in konso (Southern Ethiopia) an assessment trial of its prevalence, economic and public health importance. DVM Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, 1994, Pp. 42.
 39. Miheret M, Biruk M, Habtamu T, Ashwani K. Bovine hydatidosis in Eastern part of the Ethiopia. *MEJS.* 2013; 5:104-7.
 40. Lahmar S, Boufana B, Bradshaw H, Craig P. Screening for *Echinococcus granulosus* in dogs. Comparision between colinepurgation, copro ELISA and copro PCR with necropsy in pre-patent infections. *Vet. Parasitol.* 1999; 144:287-292.
 41. Njoroge E, Mbithi P, Gathuma J, Wachira T, Magambo J and Zeyhle E. Study on cystic Echinococcosis in slaughtered in animals in three selected areas of the Northern Turkana, Kenya. *Vet. Parasiol.* 2002; 104:85-91.
 42. Fekadu O. Study on prevalence and economic significance of hydatidosis in ruminants and *Echinococcus granulosus* in dogs. DVM Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, 1997, Pp. 49.
 43. Woubet M. A preliminary study on Echinococcosis/hydatidosis in Harrarige region and the efficacy of Gilms of *Lotoides* seeds against *Echinococcus granulosus*, in pups infected experimentally with hydatid materials. DVM Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, 1987, Pp. 30.
 44. Himonas C. The fertility of hydatid cysts in food animals in Greece *Helminthes Zoonoses martinus nijjh of publishers, Netherland, 1987, Pp. 12-8.*
 45. Hubbert W. *Disease Transmitted from Animal to Man* 6th Ed. USA, Charles Thomas publishers, 1979, Pp. 690-1206.
 46. Elimahdi I, Ali Q, Magzoub M, Ibrahim A, Saad M, Romig T. Cystic echinococcosis of livestock and humans in central Sudan. *Trop. Anim. Med. Parasitol.* 2004; 98:473-79.
 47. Ernest E, Nonga H, Kassuku A, Kazwala R. Hydatidosis of slaughtered animals in Ngorongoro district of Arusha region, Tanzania. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2008. DOI. 10.1007/s11250-008-9298-z.
 48. Nebiyu G. Study of the hydatidosis/Echinococcosis in cattle slaughtered at Bahir Dar municipality abattoir. DVM Thesis, Addis Ababa University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, 1990, Pp. 24.
 49. Bekele J, Butako B. Occurrence and financial loss assessment of cystic Echinococcosis (hydatidosis) in cattle slaughtered at Wolayita Soddo municipal abattoir, Southern Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2011; 43:221-228.
 50. Butiko B. Financial loss estimation, prevalence and characterization of hydatidosis of cattle slaughtered at Wolita Soddo municipal abattoir, Ethiopia. *Trop. Anim. Health. Prod.* 2008; 11:14-22.